IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

Elena del Campo, et al.,

NO. C 01-21151 JW

Plaintiffs,

marican Corrective Co.

American Corrective Counseling Services, Inc, et al.,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' IMPLIED MOTION TO BIFURCATE CLASS CERTIFICATION; DIRECTING PLAINTIFFS TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3)

Defendants.

On September 15, 2008, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' Amended Motion for Class Certification. The Court raised an issue with respect to the absence of a Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) damages class in Plaintiffs' Motion. Plaintiffs contend that the reason Plaintiffs did not move to certify a damages class was because there is a possibility that Defendants may not be able to pay any potential judgment. The Court construed Plaintiffs' statement as an implied motion to bifurcate class certification. The Court took the matter under submission.

Upon consideration, the Court DENIES Plaintiffs' implied motion to bifurcate class certification between liability, and injunctive and declaratory relief under Rule 23(b)(2) and damages under Rule 23(b)(3). Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2-3). The Court finds Plaintiffs' contention regarding whether Defendants may or may not be able to pay too speculative and insufficient to certify the class at two separate periods; judicial economy weighs in favor of completing the certification all at once rather than the piecemeal approach proposed by Plaintiffs.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Thus	Thus, in light of the fact that Plaintiffs have not moved for class certification under Rule		
23(b)(3), the Court gives Plaintiffs an opportunity to file a Supplemental Motion for Class			
Certification, in which Plaintiffs may move to certify a Rule 23(b)(3) damages class to be considered			
alongside Plaintiffs' pending Motion for class certification under Rule 23(b)(2).			
Accordingly, the Court sets an expedited briefing schedule for the issue of Plaintiffs'			
putative 23(b)(3) damages class as follows:			
(1)	On or before September 26, 2008 , Plaintiffs shall file and serve their Supplemental		
	Motion for Class Certification to include, if any, a damages class under Rule		
	23(b)(3);		
(2)	Defendants' Opposition, if any, shall be	e filed and served on or before October 3,	
	2008;		
(3)	Plaintiffs' Reply, if any, shall be filed a	nd served on or before October 8, 2008.	
The Court will take the matter under submission without oral argument.			
Dated: Sente	ember 19, 2008	James Wase	
Butea. Septe	5.110c1 17, 2000	JAMES WARE United States District Judge	

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 Charles D. Jenkins cjenkins@jgn.com Charles Edward Perkins cperkins@jgn.com 3 Dan Day Kim dkim@jgn.com David L. Hartsell dhartsell@mcguirewoods.com Deepak Gupta dgupta@citizen.org 4 Eric Neil Landau <u>elandau@jonesday.com</u> 5 Lester A. Perry lap@hooleking.com Martha A. Boersch mboersch@jonesday.com Natalie P. Vance nvance@klinedinstlaw.com 6 O. Randolph Bragg rand@horwitzlaw.com 7 Paul Arons lopa@rockisland.com Ronald Wilcox ronaldwilcox@post.harvard.edu 8 Susan L. Germaise <u>sgermaise@mcguirewoods.com</u> Timothy P. Irving tirving@rdblaw.com 9 10 Dated: September 19, 2008 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 11 By: /s/ JW Chambers 12 Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy** 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27